

CASE STUDY 7-1

Implementing a Performance Management Communication Plan at Accounting, Inc.

Accounting, Inc. is a consulting and accounting firm headquartered in Amsterdam, the Netherlands. Recently, Accounting, Inc. implemented a performance management system. The first step in the implementation of the new system was the development of a set of core competencies that would be used to evaluate most employees, regardless of function or level. In addition, each employee was evaluated using more job-specific performance dimensions.

As the first step in the communication plan, the employees received individual email messages, asking them to define what the core competencies meant to them and to give descriptions and examples of how each of the core competencies played out in their specific positions. Next, the company held meetings, handed out frequently asked questions (FAQs)

sheets, and placed posters around the company, detailing how the core competencies were related to the organization's strategic priorities and how performance scores would be related to monetary rewards. In these communications, Accounting, Inc., detailed how the performance system worked, how the raters were chosen, how performance feedback was used, and other details about the system. The information also outlined the benefits employees could expect from the new system as well as employees' responsibilities regarding the system.

Please evaluate Accounting, Inc.'s communication plan. Specifically, does it answer all of the questions that a good communication plan should answer (Hint: see Figure 7-1)? Which questions are left unanswered? How would you provide answers to the unanswered questions (if any)?

Source: Adapted from Brotherton, P. (2003). Meyners pays for performance: Changing a compensation system is a sensitive undertaking. Here's how one firm handled it. *Journal of Accountancy*, 196, 41-46.

CASE STUDY 7-2

Implementing an Appeals Process at Accounting, Inc.

Following up on Case Study 7-1, when the system was implemented, many employees were not happy with the ratings and the type of performance feedback information they received from their supervisors. If you were to design an

appeals process to handle these complaints well, what would the appeals process be like? (Hint: Use the appeals process shown in Box 7-1: *University of Lethbridge Performance Management Appeals Process* as a model.)

ENDNOTES

1. Kim, M. Y., & Park, S. M. (2017). Antecedents and outcomes of acceptance of performance appraisal system in Korean non-profit organizations. *Public Management Review*, 19, 479–500.
2. Grote, D. (1996). *The complete guide to performance appraisal*. New York, NY: AMACOM.
3. U.S. Department of Commerce, Human Resources, Senior Executive Service Performance management system. Retrieved January 2, 2018, from http://hr.commerce.gov/s/groups/public/@doc/@cfoasa/@ohrm/documents/content/dev01_006513.pdf
4. Rafferty, A. E., & Jimmieson, N. L. (2017). Subjective perceptions of organizational change and employee resistance to change: Direct and mediated relationships with employee well-being. *British Journal of Management*, 28, 248–264.
5. Kahneman, D. (2011). *Thinking fast and slow*. New York, NY: Farrar, Straus, and Giroux.
6. Morhman, A. M., Resnick-West, S. M., & Lawler, E. E. (1989). *Designing performance appraisal systems* (p. 133). San Francisco, CA: Jossey-Bass.
7. O'Reilly, J. T. (2001). Burying Caesar: Replacement of the veterans appeals process is needed to provide fairness to claimants. *Administrative Law Review*, 53, 223–256.
8. Grote, D. (1996). *The complete guide to performance appraisal* (pp. 263–269). New York, NY: AMACOM.
9. Spears, M. C., & Parker, D. F. (2002). A probit analysis of the impact of training on performance appraisal satisfaction. *American Business Review*, 20, 12–16.
10. Aguinis, H., Joo, H., & Gottfredson, R. K. (2011). Why we hate performance management—And why we should love it. *Business Horizons*, 54, 503–507.
11. Aguinis, H., & Adams, S. K. R. (1998). Social-role versus structural models of gender and influence use in organizations: A strong inference approach. *Group and Organization Management*, 23, 414–446.
12. Heilman, M. E., Wallen, A. S., Fuchs, D., & Tamkins, M. M. (2004). Penalties for success: Reactions to women who succeed at male gender-typed tasks. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 89, 416–427.
13. Stauffer, J. M., & Buckley, M. R. (2005). The existence and nature of racial bias in supervisory ratings. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 90, 586–591.
14. Jawahar, I. M. (2005). Do raters consider the influence of situational factors on observed performance when evaluating performance? Evidence from three experiments. *Group & Organization Management*, 30, 6–41.
15. London, M., Mone, E. M., & Scott, J. C. (2004). Performance management and assessment: Methods for improved rater accuracy and employee goal setting. *Human Resource Management*, 43, 319–336.
16. Aguinis, H., Mazurkiewicz, M. D., & Heggstad, E. D. (2009). Using web-based frame-of-reference training to decrease biases in personality-based job analysis: An experimental field study. *Personnel Psychology*, 62, 405–438.
17. Pulakos, E. D. (1986). The development of training programs to increase accuracy with different rating tasks. *Organizational Behavior and Human Decision Processes*, 38, 76–91.
18. Noonan, L. E., & Sulsky, L. M. (2001). Impact of frame-of-reference and behavioral observation training on alternative training effectiveness criteria in a Canadian military sample. *Human Performance*, 14, 3–26.
19. Gorman, C. A., & Rentsch, J. R. (2017). Retention of assessment center rater training: Improving performance schema accuracy using frame-of-reference training. *Journal of Personnel Psychology*, 16, 1–11.
20. Duarte, N. T., Goodson, J. R., & Klich, N. R. (2004). Effects of dyadic quality and duration on performance appraisal. *Academy of Management Journal*, 37, 499–521.
21. Grote, D. (1996). *The complete guide to performance appraisal* (pp. 254–256). New York, NY: AMACOM.
22. Gap Inc. encourages employees to grow, performance and succeed without ratings. Retrieved January 2, 2018 from https://www.e-reward.co.uk/uploads/editor/files/GapInc_Case_Study.pdf
23. Cederblom, D., & Pernerl, D. E. (2002). From performance appraisal to performance management: One agency's experience. *Public Personnel Management*, 31, 131–140.
24. Grote, D. (1996). *The complete guide to performance appraisal* (pp. 260–263). New York, NY: AMACOM.

25. Somers, M. (2017). Government accountability legislation gets house backing, heads to senate. *Federal News Radio*. Retrieved January 2, 2018, from <https://federalnewsradio.com/congress/2017/01/government-accountability-legislation-gets-house-backing-heads-senate/>
26. Aguinis, H., Davis, G. F., Detert, J. R., Glynn, M. A., Jackson, S. E., Kochan, T., . . . Sutcliffe, K. M. (2016). Using organizational science research to address U.S. federal agencies' management and labor needs. *Behavioral Science & Policy*, 2(2), 67–76.
27. Harper, S., & Vilkinas, T. (2005). Determining the impact of an organisation's performance management system. *Asia Pacific Journal of Human Resources*, 43, 76–97.
28. Fletcher, C. (2008). *Appraisal, feedback, and development: Making performance review work*. New York, NY: Routledge.
29. Dipboye, R. L., & de Pontbriand, R. (1981). Correlates of employee reactions to performance appraisal and appraisal systems. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 66, 248–251.
30. Goh, F. A., & Anderson, M. C. (2007). Driving business value from performance management at Caterpillar. *Organization Development Journal*, 25(2), 219–226.
31. Cederblom, D., & Pernerl, D. E. (2002). From performance appraisal to performance management: One agency's experience. *Public Personnel Management*, 31, 131–140.
32. Kelly, S. (2004). Maximizing performance at BT Global Services. *Strategic HR Review*, 3, 32–35.